

SENATE—Monday, April 3, 2000

The Senate met at 12 noon and was called to order by the President pro tempore (Mr. THURMOND).

PRAYER

The Chaplain, Dr. Lloyd John Ogilvie, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray:

Almighty and eternal God, there is no limit to what You are able to do through people who are unreservedly dedicated to You, who humbly trust You, who are open to Your guidance, and who give You all the glory for what they accomplish. We begin this new week asking You so to draw our hearts to You, so to guide our minds, so to fill our imaginations, so to control our wills that we will truly belong to You and become responsive to Your Spirit. We spread out before You the challenges of this day and ask that You will use us for Your plans and Your purpose.

Bless the Senators. Replenish their strength, renew their sense of calling to serve You here, and rekindle their enthusiasm for doing Your will in all the issues of public policy. May they, and all of us who work with them, abandon ourselves to You. We place our lives in Your strong, capable hands for You are our Lord and Saviour. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Honorable CHUCK HAGEL, a Senator from the State of Nebraska, led the Pledge of Allegiance, as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

RECOGNITION OF THE MAJORITY LEADER

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The majority leader is recognized.

SCHEDULE

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, today the Senate will be in a period of morning business throughout the day with time under the control of Senator BOB SMITH, Senator BROWNBACK or his designee, Senator CRAIG or his designee, and Senator DURBIN or his designee. As previously announced, no votes will occur during today's session of the Senate. However, the Senate will begin consideration of the budget resolution at 9:30 a.m. tomorrow, Tuesday morning, and votes can be expected during each day and evening throughout the week.

The budget resolution is allowed up to 50 hours of debate, and quite often

we have a series of votes at the end of that time. I hope we will not get into a long list of amendments that will require votes right at the very end. It is not a very good way to do business. Last year, after a lot of hard work by Senator REID and others, we were able to reduce that list to at least a reasonable number. But Senators should be on notice that we will have to spend a good bit of time in session on Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, probably going into the night at least Wednesday and Thursday, and that there is a very good chance we will be in on Friday with votes.

If we can complete the budget resolution Thursday night, even if it means going late into the night, we will do that; otherwise, we will go into Friday. But we will complete the budget resolution this week so we can move forward with appropriations bills in the appropriations subcommittees beginning next week.

Members should also be aware there are a number of important committee markups that will be occurring this week. So we are going to have a very busy time.

THE FIRST TARTAN DAY

Mr. President, I should note this is also the first week in history that we will recognize those of us with Scottish heritage: Thursday, April 6, will be the first Tartan Day. I understand the head of the Church of Scotland will be here, as well as a number of visiting members of the Scottish Parliament.

I look forward to the opportunity to wear my kilt and wear a bit of the tartan on Tartan Day. I ask all my colleagues to look through their Scottish ancestry and find their tartan tie or something with which they can mark their appreciation for the impact that Scotland has had on our history. In fact, about half, maybe a little more than half of the signers of the Declaration of Independence actually had Scottish ancestry. So I am glad we will have this day to recognize that, and I look forward to joining our Chaplain, Lloyd John Ogilvie, as we celebrate this occasion.

A NATIONAL ENERGY POLICY

Mr. President, I hope the Senate will spend some time this week giving serious thought to how we should proceed on the development of a national energy policy and what we could do on a short-term basis to deal with the price of gasoline. We are not sure exactly what is going to happen. There is some indication there will be an increase of production by the OPEC countries. It is not clear exactly how much that will be or what impact it will have. If prices

stay high or go higher, I think the American people are going to expect us to look at some alternatives, some short-term relief, and then also have a full debate about what we can do for the future, in terms of more production, alternative fuels, conservation—a whole package of things that are long overdue.

I think we are being given a second warning. We were given a warning in the late 1970s and 1980s when we had high gasoline prices, a shortage of supply, and gasoline lines. We knew there was a problem and that we should do something about it. We made some efforts, but it has not produced the results that we need. We are now dependent on foreign oil for 55 percent of our oil needs. I think that is totally unacceptable and a threat to our national security. During the week, I hope we can engage in some discussion and thought about this. We should be prepared to have some votes in this area next week, after the budget resolution is completed.

THE MARRIAGE PENALTY TAX

Mr. President, the week of April 10, voting not later than April 14, the Senate will have a chance to indicate whether or not it believes we should eliminate the marriage penalty tax. The House has voted overwhelmingly to eliminate that tax. The President has indicated he thinks we should phase it out. Now the Senate Finance Committee has acted on a package that will be available and will be acted on in the Senate that week of April 10. Like the Social Security earnings test, are we finally going to do what we have been talking about for years? The Social Security earnings penalty was in place for 30 years but finally, last week, the Congress did something about it.

We have been talking about how we were going to eliminate the marriage penalty tax for 10 years. Are we going to do it? Are we finally going to do something about it? Also, this one takes on particular significance to me because our daughter was married last May. She and her husband both work. She is a young professional woman. She has discovered this applies to her and that they are going to pay more taxes this year than they did last year, even though they make about the same amount of money. She says: Dad, you must do something. So we did something in the Finance Committee. Will we do it in the Senate? Will we rise to this challenge?

Would anybody like to try to explain this tax to the married couples in America, particularly newly married